Orangeview Junior High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year Published During 2012-13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
 office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

deritate mioritation (bullot: 1011 10)			
School Contact Information			
School Name	Orangeview Junior High School		
Street	3715 West Orange Avenue		
City, State, Zip	Anaheim, CA 92804-2814		
Phone Number	(714) 220-4205		
Principal	Yousef Nasouf		
E-mail Address	nasouf_y@auhsd.us		
CDS Code	30664316058861		

District Contact Information				
District Name	Anaheim Union High School District			
Phone Number	(714) 999-3511			
Web Site	www.auhsd.us			
Superintendent	Elizabeth I. Novack, Ph.D.			
E-mail Address	webmaster@auhsd.k12.ca.us			

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

At Orangeview Junior High School we are committed to:

- A coordinated instructional program in which teachers collaborate to ensure all students learn at high levels; and
- A systematic response to students' academic and social needs.

So that all students can:

- 1. Increase literacy skills to read, write, perform mathematical computations and think critically at levels that will allow them to access information and demonstrate understanding at or above grade level; and,
- 2. Decrease social dysfunction to make the choices and decisions that foster social and academic growth, both for the individual and the community of learners.

The Orangeview has developed programming to meet the diverse needs of students through course offerings. For example, we offer reading courses for students falling below grade level. We provide a full selection of honors courses (the first junior high school to offer 8th grade students the opportunity to enroll in Geometry), and we fully integrate many of our special education students into collaboratively taught math and English classes.

In addition to the specialized courses, the counseling department offers a comprehensive list of support services to assist students through the academic and social challenges of junior high school. We provide one-on-one meetings and group sessions for everything from anger management, to organizational skills, to grief, and also partner with outside agencies to connect students and families with deeper levels of support.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents are encouraged to attend school activities and events to support their students as they get a better look at the many different facets of Orangeview life. Our Parent Teacher Student Association (PTSA) is looking for parents to partner with the school for increased success of our students. Every parents are recruited to partner with the school by participating in the School Site Council, the English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) and the District advisory Council (DAC) in which the Superintendent is a member. Many of our programs, such as band, choir, athletics, and ASB are looking for parents to help both inside and outside of the classroom. The most effective method for parents to be involved in the academic development of their student is to regularly meet with their child and talk about both their agenda planner and any notes they have taken in class. All students have an agenda planner in which they are expected to use daily, to record what they are learning in their classes and the assignments they need to complete. Also, all students use the same note taking format (Cornell Notes), which can easily be reviewed to understand the key concepts of many classes.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

State in Similarity Grade 1970. (San 1911-11)				
Grade Level	Number of Students			
Grade 7	509			
Grade 8	511			
Total Enrollment	1,020			

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	5.3	White	12.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2	Two or More Races	2.5
Asian	8.9	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	79.9
Filipino	4.7	English Learners	56
Hispanic or Latino	64.6	Students with Disabilities	4.5
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.9		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Average class size and class size bistribution (secondary)												
	2009-10				2010-11				2011-12			
Subject	Avg.	Numb	Number of Classrooms		Avg.					ber of Classrooms		
	Class	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	29.7	3	16	13	33	11	5	32	30.9	8	8	27
Mathematics	32.9	0	11	18	35	6	4	25	32.1	5	10	19
Science	33.4	2	6	20	36.9	5	1	25	32.9	4	3	25
Social Science	27.8	6	13	15	37	4	2	24	35.7	2	2	25

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

School Safety plans are reviewed on an annual basis. Input is gathered from the School Site Council, the Discipline and Safety Committee, staff, and community resource groups in order to determine any needed changes. The Orangeview Junior High School Safety Plan was updated in the fall of 2011.

Suspensions and Expulsions

- Charles and Expansion							
D-4-*		School		District			
Rate*	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Suspensions	21.75	16.54	5.29	12.3	10.01	4.55	
Expulsions	1.97	1.47	0.78	0.97	0.70	0.52	

^{*} The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2012

Orangeview Junior High School opened in 1958. The 20.6 acre site included 42 regular classrooms. There are 14 labs, which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer labs, science lab, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes a library, a cafeteria, a gym, parent center, and a variety of sports fields. A large portion of the buildings on site were modernized in 1994 with State School Building funding. The exterior of all buildings were painted with a new color scheme during the summer of 2007. This included extensive repairs and prep work to address the wear and tear on many buildings for almost 50 years.

Maintenance and Repair: Site and District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule: The District's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily. Deep cleaning, including waxing of floors and painting, takes place during times when students are not in classes. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on October 25, 2012.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

Contain Insuranted		Repair	Status	Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[]	[]	[X]	Several stained, loose, broken and damaged ceiling tiles in various rooms. Missing ceiling tile in Room 24. Carpet has a worn spot in Room 12. Floor is peeling in Room 17. Faucet leaks at handle in Custodial Room at east side.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

Control Instituted		Repair	Status	Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[]	[]	[X]	No hot water available in Faculty and Lounge Restrooms. Replaster under urinal in Men's Restroom. Men's Restroom needs handicapped handle installed on faucet. Broken light sensors in various Boys' & Girls' Restrooms. Girls' Restroom needs lock installed on stall door and wall needs replastered. Door sticks in Girls' Restroom and outside cleanout needs capped. Boys' Restroom needs new partition wall. Need lock installed on stall partition door in Girls' Restroom.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[]	[X]	[]	Gate by Room 23 needs new clamp and welding. Asphalt at walkway at north side of Main Office needs grinding. Windows in various rooms need reglazing. Doors stick in various rooms. Gate by Room 17 needs welding. Asphalt at walkway by lunch area needs grinding.
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2011-12
With Full Credential	41	41	39	1,281
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	1	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100	0			
All Schools in District	100	0			
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	N/A	N/A			

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2	510
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.5	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	
Psychologist	0.5	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0.16	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	
Resource Specialist	0	
Other	0	

^{*} One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2009

All content subject areas utilize standards-aligned, State and District adopted textbooks and instructional materials. Teachers have input into the textbook selection process at the district-level through an established curriculum cycle. Teachers are given indepth training on the instructional materials and the ancillary materials that accompany the basic textbook material. Ancillary materials support both the language needs and the learning needs of students.

This information was collected in November 2012.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	English language arts textbooks were adopted in 2008-09. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003- 04. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Health	Health textbooks were adopted in 2004-05. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,818	\$1,715	\$5,104	\$80,869
District			\$5,475	\$81,535
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-6.77%	-0.82%
State			\$5,425	\$67,932
Percent Difference: School Site and State			-5.92%	19.04%

^{*} Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Through funding from state and federal categorical programs, such as Title I and EIA-LEP, Orangeview Junior High School offers support services for our students. Some of these include, but are not limited to: after school homework lab; Saturday academic academies in mathematics, English, and literacy development; parent conferences; Anaheim Achieves after-school program. In addition, we fund one additional teacher to serve students in English language arts/reading. *Qualifying Orangeview Junior High School students also participate in Supplemental Educational Services (SES) through the Title I program.

^{**} Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

^{*}SES is also referred to as free Title I after-school tutoring.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,634	\$42,660
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$84,860	\$69,198
Highest Teacher Salary	\$97,693	\$88,943
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$122,243	\$121,140
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$135,376	\$127,707
Superintendent Salary	\$236,654	\$202,123
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	36%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

^{*} For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject		School District				State			
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	40	49	59	48	49	54	52	54	56
Mathematics	32	33	37	31	35	37	48	50	51
Science	61	67	74	53	58	64	54	57	60
History-Social Science	43	50	59	46	49	51	44	48	49

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science		
All Students in the LEA	54	37	64	51		
All Student at the School	59	37	74	59		
Male	57	38	75	63		
Female	61	37	73	55		
Black or African American	60	30	67	48		
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	83	77	95	85		
Filipino	70	53	88	85		
Hispanic or Latino	53	30	66	50		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	64	27				
White	64	46	88	73		
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	55	34	70	55		
English Learners	27	21	42	27		
Students with Disabilities	42	26		13		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards					
Level						
7	22.1	22.1	25.2			

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	5	4	4
Similar Schools	5	7	7

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

	Actual API Change				
Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12		
All Students at the School	3	17	47		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	8	12	47		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	-6	43	39		
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	10	16	50		
English Learners	12	2	52		
Students with Disabilities	28	-16	101		

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

	2012 Growth API						
Group	Sch	ool	District		State		
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	
All Students at the School	923	802	25,547	779	4,664,264	788	
Black or African American	55	765	771	762	313,201	710	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2		88	788	31,606	742	
Asian	87	933	3,228	927	404,670	905	
Filipino	51	884	1,103	889	124,824	869	
Hispanic or Latino	598	769	16,793	733	2,425,230	740	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	8		223	795	26,563	775	
White	121	840	3,296	834	1,221,860	853	
Two or More Races	0		4		88,428	849	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	742	786	18,105	742	2,779,680	737	
English Learners	514	773	11,909	698	1,530,297	716	
Students with Disabilities	114	653	2,573	555	530,935	607	

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2000-2001	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		12
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		57.1

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their content knowledge and instructional skills. New teachers are also required to participate in the Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program. The District and school continue to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize students' assessment results in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. Classified staff members have many opportunities to participate in training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. All District staff members are supported in their efforts to deliver highly-effective lessons.