

School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2009-10 Published During 2010-11

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides the school's contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Orangeview Junior High School	District Name	Anaheim Union High School District
Street	3715 West Orange Ave.	Phone Number	714-999-3502
City, State, Zip	Anaheim, CA 92804-2814	Web Site	Auhsd.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	714-220-4205	Superintendent	Dr. Elizabeth Novack
Principal	Kevin Astor	E-mail Address	Novack_e@auhsd.us
E-mail Address	Astor_k@auhsd.us	CDS Code	30664316058861

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

At Orangeview Junior High School we are committed to:

- A coordinated instructional program in which teachers collaborate to ensure all students learn at high levels – and –
- A systematic response to students' academic and social needs

So that all students can:

- Increase literacy skills to read, write, perform mathematical computations and think critically at levels that will allow them to access information and demonstrate understanding at or above grade level and
- Decrease social dysfunction to make the choices and decisions that foster social and academic growth – both for the individual and the community of learners

We have developed programming that allows us to meet the diverse needs of our students through course offerings. For example, we offer reading courses for students falling below grade level, we provide a full selection of honors courses (we were the first junior high school to offer 8th grade students the opportunity to enroll in Geometry), and we fully integrate many of our special education students into collaboratively taught math and English classes.

In addition to the specialized courses, our counseling department offers a comprehensive list of support services to assist students through academic and social challenges of junior high school. We provide one on one meetings, group sessions for everything from anger management to organizational skills to grief and also partner with outside agencies to connect students and families with deeper levels of support.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

Parents are encouraged to attend school activities and events to support their students as they get a better look at the many different facets of Orangeview life. Our Parent, Teacher, Student Association (PTSA) is looking for parents to partner with the school for increased success of our students. Every year we seek parents to partner with the school by participating in the School Site Council, in our committee for English Learners and representing the school at the Superintendent's Parent Advisory Group. Many of our programs such as Band, Choir, Athletics, ASB are looking for parents to help both inside and outside of the classroom. The most effective method for parents to be involved in the academic development of their student is to regular meet with their child and talk about both their agenda planner and any notes they have taken in class. All students have an agenda planner in which they are expected to record what they are learning in their classes and the assignments they need to complete. Also, all students use the same note taking format (Cornell Notes) and this can be easily reviewed to understand the key concepts of many classes.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	494
Grade 8	522
Total Enrollment	1,016

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4	White	15
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	Two or More Races	
Asian	8.66	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70
Filipino	5	English Learners	41
Hispanic or Latino	62	Students with Disabilities	14
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.87		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays, by subject area, the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2007-08				2008-09				2009-10			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	25.6	22	33	23	27.0	17	12	12	29.7	3	16	13
Mathematics	31.4	0	29	18	35.7	0	3	16	32.9	0	11	18
Science	31.2	2	7	21	35.5	2	0	24	33.4	2	6	20
Social Science	30.6	9	21	46	35.6	1	1	22	27.8	6	13	15

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

School Safety plans are reviewed on an annual basis. Input is gathered from the School Site Council, staff, and community resource groups in order to determine any needed changes. The Orangeview Junior High School Safety Plan was updated in the spring of 2010.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Suspensions	16.7	23.7	21.8	6.6	16.7	12.3
Expulsions	1.5	2.8	2.0	0.9	1.1	1.0

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Orangeview Junior High School opened in 1958. The 20.6 acre site included 42 regular classrooms. There are 14 labs which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer labs, science lab, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes a library, a cafeteria, a gym, and a variety of sports fields. A large portion of the buildings on site were modernized in 1994 with State School Building funding. The exterior of all buildings will be painted with a new color scheme during the summer of 2007. This will include extensive repairs and prep work to address the wear and tear on many buildings for almost 50 years. There are plans to add climate control for the small number of rooms not completed through the 1994 modernization.

Maintenance and repair: Site and district maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning process and schedule: The district has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily and deep cleaning, waxing of floors, and painting takes place during times when students are not in class. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on January 10, 2011.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Mechanical equipment (HVAC) needs replacement in Gym area.
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[]	[]	[X]	Several ceiling tiles are hanging in Room 7. Hole on wall on right side of door in Room 3 needs patching. Paint peeling on ceiling in Room 20. Back wall needs patching in Room 14. Several stained ceiling tiles in Cafeteria and Rooms 37 and 38. Several tiles need replacing in shower area in Boys' P.E. Locker Room.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[]	[X]	[]	Peeling paint around vent and window in Girls' Restroom. Plaster needs patching in a small area in Boys' Restroom. Sink not working in Boys' Restroom.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[]	[]	[X]	Broken window in Rooms 4,10, 23, 31, and 40. Door sticking in Room 6. Window is leaking in Room 26. Hole in window in Room 33. Fence needs top rail repaired in Boys' P.E. Locker Room.
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10
With Full Credential	54	43	41	1350
Without Full Credential	0	1	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	1	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). *Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.*

Indicator	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	1	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	3	339
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.5	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	---
Psychologist	0.5	---
Social Worker	0	---
Nurse	0.16	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	---
Other	0	---

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school; whether the textbooks and instructional materials are from the most recent adoption (yes/no); and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

This information was collected in October 2009.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	English language arts textbooks were adopted in 2008-09. There is one textbook available per student.	0	Yes
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	0	Yes
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student.	0	Yes
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	0	Yes
Foreign Language	Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student.	0	Yes
Health	Health textbooks were adopted in 2004-05. There is one textbook available per student.	0	Yes
Visual and Performing Arts			Yes

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$9,797	\$4,014	\$5,783	\$80,809
District	---	---	\$5,575	80,736
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	6.5	-1.2
State	---	---	5,681	69,595
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	20.1	13.8

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are provided at the school through either categorical funds or other sources.

Through various funding sources, Orangeview Junior High School offers different support services for our students. Some of these include, but are not limited to: After school Homework Lab; Saturday Academic Academies in Math, English, and EL; Parent Conferences; Anaheim Achieves After School Program. In addition, we fund two additional teachers to serve students in English Language Arts / Reading.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	47,665	43,096
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	86,735	70,018
Highest Teacher Salary	99,631	89,675
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	0	0
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	124,631	122,408
Average Principal Salary (High)	136,824	128,615
Superintendent Salary	237,300	204,469
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.1	37.5
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4	5.1

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA for grades three through eight and science in grades five and eight and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2009 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/pkt5intrpts09.pdf>.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
English-Language Arts	38	40	40	43	48	48	46	50	52
Mathematics	34	32	32	30	31	31	43	46	48
Science	55	61	61	49	53	53	46	50	54
History-Social Science	35	43	43	38	46	46	36	41	44

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA				
All Student at the School				
Male	36	34	63	42
Female	45	29	58	43
Black or African American	39	20	71	59
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	59	57	79	67
Filipino	67	44	87	68
Hispanic or Latino	34	26	53	36
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	26	35	46	31
White	44	34	65	41
Two or More Races	62	69	*	*
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	37	29	58	40
English Learners	22	24	45	27
Students with Disabilities	23	24	25	9
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. *Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	Results not available	Results not available	Results not available

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2007	2008	2009
Statewide	4	5	5
Similar Schools	6	6	5

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score.
 Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All Students at the School	27	1	3
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	26	-7	8
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	17	11	-6
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	25	-3	10
English Learners	38	9	12
Students with Disabilities	-10		28

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2010 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2010 Growth API		
	School	LEA	State
All Students at the School	738	748	767
Black or African American		727	686
American Indian or Alaska Native			728
Asian		905	890
Filipino		859	851
Hispanic or Latino	710	698	715
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		746	753
White	757	805	838
Two or More Races			808
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	720	706	712
English Learners	720	681	692
Students with Disabilities	574	493	580

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	No

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2010-11)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2000-2001	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	10
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	47.6

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their knowledge and instructional skills. The Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program, district workshops, and professional conferences are opportunities for professional development. The District continues to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize student assessment results in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. Classified staff members also have opportunities to participate in trainings designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. All district staff members are supported in their efforts to be considered highly qualified under NCLB.