

Orangeview Junior High School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year

Published During 2013-14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)

| School Contact Information | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| School Name | Orangeview Junior High School |
| Street | 3715 West Orange Avenue |
| City, State, Zip | Anaheim, CA 92804-2814 |
| Phone Number | (714) 220-4205 |
| Principal | Yousef Nasouf |
| E-mail Address | nasouf_y@auhsd.us |
| CDS Code | 30664316058861 |

| District Contact Information | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| District Name | Anaheim Union High School District |
| Phone Number | (714) 999-3511 |
| Web Site | www.auhsd.us |
| Superintendent | Elizabeth I. Novack, Ph.D. |
| E-mail Address | webmaster@auhsd.k12.ca.us |

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Orangeview Jr. High School has developed programs to meet the diverse needs of students through course offerings. For example, we offer reading courses for students falling below grade level. We provide a full selection of honors courses (the first junior high school to offer 8th grade students the opportunity to enroll in Geometry), and we fully integrate many of our special education students into collaboratively taught Math and English classes.

In addition to the specialized courses, our counseling department offers a comprehensive list of support services to assist students through the academic and social challenges of junior high school. We provide one-on-one meetings, group sessions for anger management, organizational skills, grief, and we partner with outside agencies to connect students and families with additional support.

At Orangeview Junior High School we are committed to:

- A coordinated instructional program in which teachers collaborate to ensure all students learn
- A systematic response to students' academic and social needs

So that all students can:

1. Collaborate
2. Communicate
3. Be Creative
4. Think Critically

We strive to equip students with 21st Century skills that are needed for college and careers.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

There are many opportunities for parents to become involved in school activities. Our Parent Teacher Student Association (PTSA) was established so that parents may partner with the school to sponsor activities. Parents may also partner with the school by participating in the School Site Council (SSC), the English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) and the District advisory Council (DAC) in which the Superintendent is a member. Many of our programs, such as band, choir, athletics, and ASB encourage parents to volunteer inside and outside of the classroom. The most effective method for parents to be involved in the academic development of their student is to regularly meet with their child and talk about both their agenda planner and any notes they have taken in class. We have parent nights to share how parents may monitor their child's academic progress. These meetings take place once a month. All students have an agenda planner to record what they are learning in their classes and the assignments they need to complete. Also, all students use the same note taking format (Cornell Notes), which can easily be reviewed to understand the key concepts of many classes. Parents may review the planner to see what activities their child has completed during school each day.

III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | School | | | District | | | State | | |
| | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| English-Language Arts | 49 | 59 | 53 | 49 | 54 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 55 |
| Mathematics | 33 | 37 | 42 | 35 | 37 | 35 | 49 | 50 | 50 |
| Science | 67 | 74 | 62 | 58 | 64 | 62 | 57 | 60 | 59 |
| History-Social Science | 50 | 59 | 56 | 49 | 51 | 50 | 48 | 49 | 49 |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

| Group | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced | | | |
|---|---|-------------|---------|------------------------|
| | English-Language Arts | Mathematics | Science | History-Social Science |
| All Students in the LEA | 52 | 35 | 62 | 50 |
| All Student at the School | 53 | 42 | 62 | 56 |
| Male | 51 | 41 | 66 | 60 |
| Female | 56 | 44 | 59 | 52 |
| Black or African American | 50 | 34 | 43 | 65 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | |
| Asian | 87 | 87 | 95 | 95 |
| Filipino | 72 | 59 | 81 | 78 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 47 | 37 | 54 | 49 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | | | | |
| White | 50 | 40 | 69 | 54 |
| Two or More Races | 62 | 35 | 88 | 52 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 50 | 39 | 61 | 54 |
| English Learners | 28 | 22 | 34 | 24 |
| Students with Disabilities | 49 | 24 | 63 | 17 |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | | | | |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

| Grade Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | |
|-------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |
| 7 | 21.7 | 22.3 | 23.2 |

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

IV. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school’s statewide and similar schools’ API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched “similar schools.” A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school’s academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school’s academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

| API Rank | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|
| Statewide | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Similar Schools | 7 | 7 | 10 |

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

| Group | Actual API Change | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| All Students at the School | 17 | 47 | -14 |
| Black or African American | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | |
| Asian | | | |
| Filipino | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 12 | 47 | -8 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | | | |
| White | 43 | 39 | -57 |
| Two or More Races | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 16 | 50 | -10 |
| English Learners | 2 | 52 | -16 |
| Students with Disabilities | -16 | 101 | -10 |

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

| Group | 2013 Growth API | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|
| | School | | District | | State | |
| | # of Students | Growth API | # of Students | Growth API | # of Students | Growth API |
| All Students at the School | 899 | 797 | 25,373 | 777 | 4,655,989 | 790 |
| Black or African American | 52 | 768 | 665 | 752 | 296,463 | 708 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 2 | | 132 | 759 | 30,394 | 743 |
| Asian | 77 | 955 | 3,111 | 927 | 406,527 | 906 |
| Filipino | 48 | 896 | 1,030 | 882 | 121,054 | 867 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 573 | 771 | 16,371 | 734 | 2,438,951 | 744 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 9 | | 176 | 793 | 25,351 | 774 |
| White | 106 | 792 | 3,035 | 819 | 1,200,127 | 853 |
| Two or More Races | 32 | 804 | 853 | 804 | 125,025 | 824 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 757 | 785 | 18,299 | 746 | 2,774,640 | 743 |
| English Learners | 495 | 767 | 10,907 | 685 | 1,482,316 | 721 |
| Students with Disabilities | 123 | 667 | 2,547 | 554 | 527,476 | 615 |

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

| AYP Criteria | School | District |
|---|--------|----------|
| Made AYP Overall | No | No |
| Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate: Mathematics | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts | No | No |
| Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics | No | No |
| Met API Criteria | Yes | Yes |
| Met Graduation Rate (if applicable) | N/A | No |

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

| Indicator | School | District |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | 2000-2001 | 2008-2009 |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year 5 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | --- | 13 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | --- | 92.9 |

V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

| Grade Level | Number of Students |
|------------------|--------------------|
| Grade 6 | 0 |
| Grade 7 | 472 |
| Grade 8 | 452 |
| Total Enrollment | 924 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment | Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Black or African American | 6.2 | White | 13.3 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.3 | Two or More Races | 3.6 |
| Asian | 8.6 | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 29.3 |
| Filipino | 5.0 | English Learners | 32.3 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 69.9 | Students with Disabilities | 14.6 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0.9 | | |

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2010-11 | | | | 2011-12 | | | | 2012-13 | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----|
| | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms | | | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms | | | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms | | |
| | | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ | | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ | | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 33 | 11 | 5 | 32 | 30.9 | 8 | 8 | 27 | 19 | 12 | 28 | 11 |
| Mathematics | 35 | 6 | 4 | 25 | 32.1 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 25 | 10 | 18 | 11 |
| Science | 36.9 | 5 | 1 | 25 | 32.9 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 29 | 8 | | 25 |
| Social Science | 37 | 4 | 2 | 24 | 35.7 | 2 | 2 | 25 | 30 | 5 | 6 | 21 |

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

School Safety plans are reviewed on an annual basis. Input is gathered from the School Site Council, the Discipline and Safety Committee, staff, and community resource groups in order to determine any needed changes. The Orangeview Junior High School Safety Plan was updated in the fall of 2012. The safety plan provides an overview of how Orangeview staff will handle emergency situations on and off of the campus as well as the protocol for interventions to ensure the health and well being of students and staff.

Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School | | | District | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Suspensions | 16.54 | 5.29 | 10.13 | 9.85 | 4.55 | |
| Expulsions | 1.47 | 0.78 | 0.38 | 1.02 | 0.52 | |

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

VI. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Orangeview Junior High School opened in 1958. The 20.6 acre site included 42 regular classrooms. There are 14 labs, which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer labs, science lab, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes a library, a cafeteria, a gym, parent center, and a variety of sports fields. A large portion of the buildings on site were modernized in 1994 with State School Building funding. The exterior of all buildings were painted with a new color scheme during the summer of 2007. This included extensive repairs and prep work to address the wear and tear on many buildings for almost 50 years.

Maintenance and Repair: Site and District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule: The District's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily. Deep cleaning, including waxing of floors and painting, takes place during times when students are not in classes. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on October 27, 2013.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

| School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14) | | | | |
|--|---------------|------|------|---|
| Year and month in which data were collected: October 2013 | | | | |
| System Inspected | Repair Status | | | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | [] | [X] | [] | Need base cove for ethernet cable and base cove in northwest corner of Room 8. Faucet in Room 10 needs a new push handle. Cabinet door in Room 32 needs repair. |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Electrical: Electrical | [] | [X] | [] | Need ballasts replaced in several areas. Light facing parking lot outside Room 3 is not working. |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | [] | [X] | [] | Women's restroom by Room 40 needs lock installed in first stall. Girls' restroom by Room 18 needs lock installed in last stall. |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | [X] | [] | [] | |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | [X] | [] | [] | |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | [] | [X] | [] | Concrete is lifted at Main Office area. Windows need glazing in several rooms. Need window screen replaced in Girls' P.E. |

Overall Facility Rate

| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |
|----------------|-----------|------|------|------|
| | [] | [X] | [] | [] |

VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School | | | District |
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2012-13 |
| With Full Credential | 41 | 39 | 46 | 46 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 1 | 0 | 0 | --- |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

| Location of Classes | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |
| This School | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| All Schools in District | 99.8 | 0.2 |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 99.8 | 0.2 |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 100.0 | 0.0 |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

| Title | Number of FTE Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Academic Counselor | 2 | 492 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0 | --- |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0 | --- |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 1 | --- |
| Psychologist | 0.5 | --- |
| Social Worker | 0 | --- |
| Nurse | 0.16 | --- |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 1 | --- |
| Resource Specialist | 0 | --- |
| Other | 0 | --- |

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2009

All content subject areas utilize standards-aligned, State and District adopted textbooks and instructional materials. Teachers have input into the textbook selection process at the district-level through an established curriculum cycle. Teachers are given indepth training on the instructional materials and the ancillary materials that accompany the basic textbook material. Ancillary materials support both the language needs and the learning needs of students.

This information was collected in November 2012.

| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Reading/Language Arts | English language arts textbooks were adopted in 2008-09. There is one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| Mathematics | Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| Science | Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| History-Social Science | History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| Foreign Language | Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| Health | Health is taught as part of science and PE curriculum. Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. | Yes | 0 |
| Visual and Performing Arts | Visual and performing arts students have access to course appropriate textbooks and instructional materials. | Yes | 0 |

X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil | | | Average Teacher Salary |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Total | Supplemental/ Restricted | Basic/ Unrestricted | |
| School Site | \$6,914 | \$1,830 | \$5,083 | \$82,483 |
| District | --- | --- | \$5,824 | \$83,851 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | --- | --- | -12.7 | -1.6 |
| State | --- | --- | \$5,537 | \$71,584 |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | --- | --- | -8.2 | 15.2 |

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Through funding from state and federal categorical programs, such as Title I and EIA-LEP, Orangeview Junior High School offers support services for our students. Some of these include, but are not limited to: after school homework lab; Saturday academic academies in mathematics, English, and literacy development; parent conferences; Anaheim Achieves after-school program. In addition, we fund one additional teacher to serve students in English language arts/reading. *Qualifying Orangeview Junior High School students also participate in Supplemental Educational Services (SES) through the Title I program.

*SES is also referred to as free Title I after-school tutoring.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Beginning Teacher Salary | \$47,407 | \$42,865 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | \$86,266 | \$69,484 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | \$99,147 | \$89,290 |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | \$0 | |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | \$123,886 | \$119,946 |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | \$136,711 | \$128,378 |
| Superintendent Salary | \$244,008 | \$202,664 |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | 40.6% | 36.8% |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 4.0% | 4.9% |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

The teachers at Orangeview attend a variety of District in-services, professional development workshops, and conferences to enhance their content knowledge and instructional skills. The in-services, conferences, and professional development opportunities give teachers time to collaborate and share the latest instructional strategies. Teachers learn to use students' assessment results and data from these assessments to meet the individual needs of students. New teachers are also required to participate in the Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program. This program provides a mentor teacher for the new teacher so that the latest instructional strategies are shared with the new teacher. Classified staff members also have many opportunities to participate in training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. They also attend district in-services and professional development workshops. All District staff members are supported in their efforts to deliver highly-effective lessons.